

GHG Inventory Verification Report

Shanghai Pacific Millennium Packaging & Paper Industries Co., Ltd

> Date: On-site verification January 2020 Contract No.: CTI-NB-GHG-2019-1201 Prepared by: Li Ziqi

All copyright reserved by CTI Certification



Contents

| EXE | CUTIV | /E SUMMARY-VERIFICATION OPINION | 1 |
|-----|-------|---------------------------------|---|
| 1 | INTRO | DDUCTION | 2 |
| | 1.1 | Objective | 2 |
| | 1.2 | Scope | 2 |
| | 1.3 | Level of Assurance | 2 |
| 2 | METH | IODOLOGY | 3 |
| | 2.1 | Interviewed Persons | 4 |
| | | Document Reviewed | |
| | | Internal Quality Control | |
| 3 | VERIF | FICATION CHECHLIST | 6 |
| 4 | ON-SI | TE VERIFICATION FINDINGS 1 | 1 |
| 5 | VERIF | FICATION STATEMENT 1 | 1 |



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY-VERIFICATION OPINION

Level of Assurance Chosen to Verification



Reasonable level of assurance Limited level of assurance

Materiality threshold:

a

<u>Scope</u>

Reporter's Organizational Boundaries:

Date on site verification was completed: <u>10 January 2020</u>

Production and Activities: Core business is the production and sale of corrugated board, corrugated boxes and other corrugated products.

Reporting Year of Emission Report Verified: 2019

Standards Used to Verify GHG emission inventory and report

ISO 14064 Series ISO 14064-1:2006 and ISO14064-3

Other Requirements:

Verification Team Members

Team Leader: <u>Li Ziqi</u>

GHG Emission Reporting Overview

| Total entity GHG emis | sion verified: | 46,978 | Tonnes CO ₂ -e |
|-------------------------|------------------|--------|---------------------------|
| Total Direct Emissions | : | 20,743 | Tonnes CO ₂ -e |
| Breakdown | CO ₂ | 20,661 | Tonnes CO ₂ -e |
| | CH ₄ | 50.5 | Tonnes CO ₂ -e |
| | N ₂ O | 31.4 | Tonnes CO ₂ -e |
| | HFCs | 0 | Tonnes CO ₂ -e |
| | PFCs | 0 | Tonnes CO ₂ -e |
| | SF ₆ | 0 | Tonnes CO ₂ -e |
| Percentage of total GH | G emission | 44% | |
| Total Energy Indirect E | Emissions: | 26,235 | Tonnes CO ₂ -e |
| Percentage of total GH | G emission | 56% | |
| Other indirect GHG en | nission: | 0 | Tonnes CO ₂ -e |
| Percentage of total GH | G emission | 0% | |
| | | | |

Verification Statement and Opinion

Based on the data and information provided by **Shanghai Pacific Millennium Packaging & Paper Industries Co., Ltd**, CTI Certification has conducted verification activities in compliance with ISO14064 series. CTI Certification provides **Reasonable** level of assurance that **Shanghai Pacific Millennium Packaging & Paper Industries Co., Ltd** reported greenhouse gas emission from **2019/01/01** to **2019/12/31** are verifiable and meet the requirements of ISO14064 series.

CTI Certification concluded that <u>based on the process and procedures conducted</u>, the GHG assertion is <u>materially correct and is a fair representation of the GHG data and information</u>.



(Note: this opinions links to specific level of assurance selected)

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Objective

The verification has been conducted in accordance with ISO14064-1. As such CTI Certification has undertaken the following procedures that we considered appropriate to be able to provide a **<u>Reasonable</u>** level of assurance:

- Sample testing of source data for data and bill check;
- Confirmation that arithmetical calculations are correct;
- Onsite inspection of equipments and reported GHG emission;
- Interview and discussion with relevant personnel in relation to systems, procedures, and operation controls; and
- Observation and review of relevant documentation.

CTI Certification did not conduct any verification procedures with respect to the internal control environment and data management system of <u>Shanghai Pacific Millennium Packaging & Paper</u> <u>Industries Co., Ltd</u> as a whole. As such, no assurance is provided on any internal control environment and data management system not associated with calculating the GHG emission inventory and preparing the GHG emission inventory report.

CTI Certification confirms that we are not aware of any actual or perceived conflict of interest in having completed this engagement.

1.2 Scope

CTI Certification has been engaged to undertake a verification of <u>Shanghai Pacific Millennium</u> <u>Packaging & Paper Industries Co., Ltd</u>.'s GHG inventory report. The verification has been planned and performed to provide a <u>Reasonable</u> level of assurance opinion on whether <u>Shanghai Pacific Millennium</u> <u>Packaging & Paper Industries Co., Ltd</u>.'s GHG emission inventory in the year of <u>2019</u> is fairly presented, in all material aspects, in accordance with requirements as defined in standard ISO14064-1.

1.3 Level of Assurance

The following matrix clarifies the different level of assurance chosen to perform GHG inventory verification. Therefore, verification statement and opinion will be concluded based on selected level of assurance which implies different acceptability by interested parties.



| Select | Level | Assurance activities | Materiality thresholds and typical opinion in assurance statement |
|--------|---|---|--|
| ~ | Level 1 Reasonable level of assurance | Sampling plan: risk-based approach. The detailed sampling plan shall be prepared matching the materiality threshold agreed with intended user covering <u>all</u> <u>high and medium risk events</u> identified in the risk assessment including sites, facilities, sources and calculation. | The materiality threshold for this level is defined by specific GHG program or agreed with intended user. Less than +certain percentage (%) means the errors, omissions and misrepresentation is immaterial overstatement. Less than -certain percentage (%) means the errors, omissions and misrepresentation is immaterial understatement. The actual materiality threshold can be calculated by sampled data. The typical opinion as: <u>An emission report is materially</u> correct. |
| | Level 2 Limited level of assurance | Sampling plan: risk-based approach The limited sampling plan shall be prepared matching this materiality threshold agreed with intended user covering <u>only high risk events</u> identified in the risk assessment including sites, facilities, sources and calculation. | The materiality threshold for this level is defined by specific GHG program or agreed with intended user. Less than +certain percentage (%) means the errors, omissions and misrepresentation is immaterial overstatement. Less than -certain percentage (%) means the errors, omissions and misrepresentation is immaterial understatement. The actual materiality threshold can be calculated by sampled data. The typical opinion as <u>There is no evidence that an emission</u> <u>report is not materially correct.</u> |

The level of assurance chosen along this verification process is **Reasonable level of assurance**.

2 METHODOLOGY

The verification consisted of the following procedures:

- Sample testing of source data for data and bill check;
- Confirmation that arithmetical calculations are correct;
- Onsite inspection of equipments and proposed energy savings measures;
- Interview and discussion with relevant personnel in relation to systems, procedures, and operation controls; and
- Observation and review of relevant documentation.

Based on ISO14064-1 and CTI Certification procedure, the verification Plan was worked out and verification activities is undertaken according to the plan (see Verification Plan).



2.1 Interviewed Persons

| Name | Job Title |
|----------------------|----------------------------|
| On-site verification | |
| Jessie Zhang | Corp. Marketing manager |
| Eden Xu | Corp. Marketing Specialist |
| Emma Zhang | HR manager |
| Cindy Cui | HR manager |
| Amy Zheng | HR |
| Pamela Guo | HR |

2.2 Document Reviewed

The following table outlines the documents assessed during the verification:

| Activity or Emission Source | Document |
|---|---|
| Identifying Emission Sources | |
| Emission Source Inventory | Facility Inventory |
| | Emission Source Inventory |
| | |
| | |
| Understanden der a Maria anne and Santanna and Matha | dela etce |
| Understanding Management Systems and Metho Responsibilities for Implementing GHG | |
| Management Plan | Organization Chart |
| | Greenhouse Gas Management Plan |
| Training | Training Manual |
| | Procedures Manual |
| Methodologies | Protocols Used |
| Verifying Emission Estimates | |
| Direct Emissions from Mobile Combustion | Monthly Utility Bills |
| (Applicable Non-applicable) | Fuel Purchase Records |
| | Fuel in Stock |
| | Vehicle Miles Traveled |
| | Inventory of Vehicles |
| | Emission Factors |
| Direct Emissions from Stationary Combustion | Monthly Utility Bills |
| (Applicable Non-applicable) | Fuel Purchase Records |
| | Inventory of Stationary Combustion Facilities |
| | Emission Factors |
| Direct Emissions from Process Activities | Raw Material Inputs |
| (Applicable X Non-applicable) | Production Output |
| | Calculation Methodology |
| | Emission Factors |
| Direct Fugitive Emissions: | |
| Refrigeration Systems | Refrigerant Purchase Records |
| (Applicable Non-applicable) | Refrigerant Sales Records |
| | Calculation Methodology, |
| | Emission Factors |
| | |



| Activity or Emission Source | Document |
|---|---|
| | CO ₂ Purchase Records |
| • CO_2 fire extinguisher | \Box CO ₂ Sales Records |
| (Applicable Non-applicable) | Calculation Methodology, |
| | Emission Factors |
| • Septic Systems (Applicable Non-applicable) | Calculation Methodology,Emission Factors |
| • Landfills | Waste-in-Place Data |
| • Landnins (Applicable Non-applicable) | Waste Landfilled |
| | Calculation Methodology |
| | Emission Factors |
| • Others: | |
| Indirect Emissions from Electricity Use | Monthly Electric Utility Bills |
| (Applicable Non-applicable) | Emission Factors |
| Indirect Emissions from Cogeneration, Imported | Monthly Utility Bills |
| Steam, District Heating, District Cooling, | Fuel and Efficiency Data from Supplier |
| (Applicable Non-applicable) | Emission Factors |
| Others procedures and records | |
| | GHG inventory and data quality management |
| | GHG inventory reporting |
| | Electricity Energy Reduction Project Plan |
| | Previous GHG verification report |
| | Previous GHG inventory report |
| | GHG internal audit instruction |
| | GHG management review instruction |
| | Others |

2.3 Internal Quality Control

The draft verification report underwent an independent review before being submitted to the reporter. The independent review was performed by an internal peer reviewer qualified in accordance with CTI Certification's competence management procedure for Entity GHG Verification.



3 VERIFICATION CHECHLIST

The results of the verification procedures undertaken are set out in the following Verification Checklist. All Clarifications (CLs) and Correction Actions Request (CARs) and comments were described in Note column.

| Verification Checklist | Finding (Yes / No / N/A) | Note | | |
|--|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| General Management | | | | |
| Were you able to consult with appropriate operational and | Yes | Jessie Zhang and Eden Xu are responsible to the GHG inventory relevant | | |
| management personnel? | | issues. | | |
| Is someone responsible for managing and reporting GHG | Yes | The GHG reporting is the responsibility of the GHG Team Leader, Chen | | |
| emissions? | | Peng. | | |
| Is that person qualified to do so? | | | | |
| Is appropriate training provided to personnel assigned to | Yes | | | |
| GHG emissions reporting duties? | | | | |
| 2 Reporting Boundaries | | | | |
| Is the Reporter's reporting boundary clearly defined? What | Yes | Operational control method is used to consolidate GHG emission. | | |
| consolidation methods used (equity share, financial control | | | | |
| or operational control) | | | | |
| Does the Reporter's reporting boundary reflect its business | Yes | | | |
| structure? | | | | |
| Are lease adequately addressed? | N/A | | | |
| Are all facilities within defined locations (single site and | Yes | | | |
| multi sites) included? | | | | |
| Are the exclusion of GHG sources and threshold of | Yes | Exclusion threshold is 1% | | |
| materiality defined? | | | | |
| 3 Greenhouse Gas Emissions Sources | | | | |
| Are all Scope 1, 2 and 3 (where required) emissions sources | Yes | The Scope1 and Scope 2 are considered. | | |
| from within the Reporter's boundary considered? | | Scope 1 includes Boiler, Forklift, Hold car and Official vehicles, and | | |
| All stationary, mobile, process, fugitive sources and indirect | | Scope 2 includes purchased electricity. | | |
| sources are captured including small sources? | | There is no any GHG emission from process. | | |
| | | GHG emissions from air-conditioning, septic systems and fire extinguisher | | |
| | | are excluded because the emissions less than 1% of the total emissions. | | |
| Does the GHG Emission Inventory consider all of the | No | GHG emissions only include Carbon dioxide (CO ₂), Methane (CH ₄) and | | |
| following nominated greenhouse gases? | | Nitrous oxide (N_2O) | | |
| • Carbon dioxide (CO ₂) | | | | |



| Verification Checklist | Finding (Yes / No / N/A) | Note |
|---|-----------------------------|------|
| • Methane (CH ₄) | | |
| • Nitrous oxide (N ₂ O) | | |
| Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs) | | |
| Perfluorocarbons (PFCs) | | |
| • Sulfur hexafluoride (SF ₆) | | |
| If any of the above are excluded, is this justified? | | |
| Are the activity levels for each emission source identified and their use clearly justified? | Yes | |
| Are the activity levels of each emission source supported by | Yes | |
| appropriate data and records (source data)? | | |
| Have any activities been outsourced in the current year? | No | |
| Have any mergers, acquisitions, or divestitures occurred | No | |
| during the reporting year? | | |
| If a baseline has been specified, has it been adjusted | N/A | |
| accordingly? | | |
| 4 Quantification Methodology | | |
| Are appropriate calculation methodologies/procedures used | Yes | |
| to manage GHG emissions at the source level and justified | | |
| when changed? | | |
| Are the methods based on reliable accurate and current references? | Yes | |
| Are they appropriate given the uncertainty/risk associated with the emissions? | No | |
| Are all emissions that are considered de minimis emissions documented as such? | Yes | |
| Are appropriate methods used to manage and implement | Yes | |
| entity-wide GHG emissions reporting programs? | | |
| 5 Data Calculation | | |
| Is activity data based on appropriate sources and being | Yes | |
| collected for the quantification method? | | |
| Is the activity data the most accurate available? | No | |
| Have the most appropriate emission factors been used and justified? | No | |
| If the reporter uses alternative emission factors, are they | N/A | |
| documented and explained appropriately? | | |
| documented and explained appropriately : | | |



| Verification Checklist | Finding (Yes / No / N/A) | Note |
|---|-----------------------------|---|
| Have emissions been calculated correctly by multiplying emission factors by activity levels for each emission source? All emission sources are considered. Unit conversion. GHG emission exclusion and amount. Level of assurance with actual quantitative uncertainty. | Yes | Uncertainty is assessed qualitatively. |
| Have all emissions been converted into tonnes of CO ₂ -e? | Yes | |
| Does the sum of these values represent the total emissions for the Reporter? | Yes | |
| Is the total quantity of emissions appropriate relative to the scale and operation of the Reporter? | Yes | |
| Are the current year's reported emissions significantly different from the prior year? | No | |
| If the reporter has more than one facility, is the level of aggregation or disaggregation within the inventory appropriate? | Yes | The reporter has eleven sites. |
| Has the accumulated change in reported emissions, since the last baseline update? Has the baseline, if any, been recalculated? | Yes | |
| Are discrepancies between your emissions estimates and the reporter's immaterial? | No | |
| 6 Base Year | | |
| Consideration of base year selection, its applicability | Yes | The reporters selects the rolling base year. When GHG emissions inventory for 2019, the 2018 is the company's base year. |
| If applicable, a description of the approach for adjusting base year emissions for mergers, acquisitions, divestitures, and outsourcing. Any changes implemented consistently (emission decrease as well as increase)? | Yes N/A | In subsequent GHG inventories re-calculation, the following is to be taken into account: a) closure of an operating unit b) creation of a new operating unit c) acquisition of another company or part of another company d) outsourcing of business activities e) changes to organizational or operational boundaries |



| Verification Checklist | Finding (Yes / No / N/A) | Note |
|--|-----------------------------|---|
| A description of the approach for adjusting base year emission for changes in calculation methodologies, emission factors, or error correction. | Yes | In subsequent GHG inventories re-calculation, the following is to be taken into account: Any change in GHG quantification method resulting in significant changes to the quantified GHG emissions or removals. |
| 7 GHG Inventory Quality Management | | |
| Are appropriate documents created to support and/or substantiate activities related to GHG emissions reporting activities, and is such documentation retained appropriately? | Yes | |
| Does the Reporter have a documented GHG information management procedure to ensure accuracy and completeness of inventory, identify error and omission, archive GHG inventory records | No | |
| Is uncertainty and quality assurance measure for data process available and functional, minimizing the error? | Yes | Uncertainty is assessed qualitatively. Quality assurance measures reducing the uncertainty has not been set. |
| Is a process likely to avoid data errors in computing final rolled up inventory totals? | Yes | |
| Are all likely error sources considered? | Yes | |
| Are GHG data monitoring instruments been properly maintained and calibrated in according with documented procedures? | Yes | |
| Are processes in place for records to be maintained? | Yes | |
| Are the record-keeping arrangements operational and effective? | Yes | |
| Is a clear and transparent audit trail of documents, data and records that support any calculations, assumptions or decisions reached available? | Yes | Collection of activity data, aggregation, calculation, supporting documents, etc. are all reachable and combined in the tailor-made Excel form. |
| Are relevant records maintained for the proper duration? | Yes | Records will be kept for 5 years. |
| Are all relevant records that support greenhouse gas assertions available to the Verifier? | Yes | |
| Where data has been transferred or reconciled was this done | Yes | |

Shanghai Pacific Millennium Packaging & Paper Industries Co., Ltd 2019 Organizational GHG Inventory Verification

| Verification Checklist | Finding (Yes / No / N/A) | Note |
|---|-----------------------------|------|
| correctly? | | |
| 8 GHG Reduction Activities | | |
| Do any GHG reduction objectives set up? | NO | |
| Are offsets included in the inventory? | N/A | |
| If yes, please describe the offsets. | | |
| Have the offsets been approved by any authority? | N/A | |
| Have the offsets been calculated correctly and converted into | N/A | |
| tonnes of CO ₂ -e? | | |
| Please describe the calculation methodology and how it was | | |
| assessed. Where offsets have not been calculated correctly | | |
| please assess the materiality of this discrepancy. | | |
| Has the net total inventory been calculated correctly by | N/A | |
| subtracting the offsets from the gross total inventory? | | |
| What abatement actions are planned to be taken? | N/A | |
| Please describe all abatement actions listed | | |
| Have the abatement actions been implemented? | N/A | |



4 ON-SITE VERIFICATION FINDINGS

The reported company located in Shanghai Pacific Millennium Packaging & Paper Industries Co., Ltd, and it includes eleven plants located in Tianjin, Qingdao, Shenyang, Suzhou, Zhejiang, Nanjing, Dalian, Changshu, Guangdong, Taicang and Wujiang, main business is the production and sale of corrugated board, corrugated boxes and other corrugated products. The GHG inventory is including GHG emissions related to production and business activities.

| Emission Scopes | Sub categories | Emission sources |
|-----------------|----------------|--|
| Scope1 | Stationary | - Boiler |
| | Process | - |
| | Mobile | - Forklift, Hold car and Official vehicles |
| | Fugitive | - Sewage disposal system |
| Scope2 | | - All electrical facility and equipment |
| Scope3 | | - |

The related responsibilities of GHG inventory were stipulated in the procedure and GHG inventory report. The GHG information management system including inventory, record retention, data consolidation and calculation and data quality management were preliminarily checked and reviewed.

The verification team conducted site visit along all production processes and physical buildings. The data consolidation, calculation and data sources availability of the significant emission sources were checked accordingly.

The risk based sampling plan was prepared and to be used in on-site verification.

CTI Certification conducted planning to verification based on agreed <u>reasonable level of assurance</u>, through the sampling and the onsite verification implementation, CTI Certification concluded that the verified total GHG emission of year <u>2019</u> of <u>Shanghai Pacific Millennium Packaging & Paper</u> <u>Industries Co., Ltd</u> is <u>46,978</u> tonnes CO₂e. The evidence of verified energy reduction was checked on the spot.

In addition, CTI Certification proposed that <u>Shanghai Pacific Millennium Packaging & Paper Industries</u> <u>Co., Ltd</u> should pay more attention to the following points of GHG inventory practices and improve continuously.:

- 1. Enhance uncertainty analysis, and should consider measures to manage or reduce uncertainties;
- 2. Enhance the training of Inventory team and specify the responsibility and authority of each member;
- 3. Continue to set more robust emission reduction target and program;
- 4. Integrate GHG inventory and management system into other existing management system, such as Environmental Management System to streamline implementation.

5 VERIFICATION STATEMENT

See Verification Statement document.